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1. Introduction
MiFID II & MiFIR

- MiFID II – the EU’s revised Market in Financial Instruments Directive
- MiFIR – the accompanying Markets in Financial Instruments Regulation
- MiFID II and MiFIR take effect on 3 January 2018
  - Implementation has been delayed by 1 year.
- Implementation Challenges
  - Despite the extensive legal text and interpretive guidance, a number of key implementation gaps remain.
- Core Action Items
  - This presentation identifies several “action items” applicable to third-country firms in the run-up to January 2018.
  - It does not purport to be an exhaustive list!
2. Position Limits & Reporting
Position Limits

- **MiFID II**
  - A new three-pillar framework: (1) position limits; (2) position management; and (3) position reporting.
  - Regime applies to commodity derivatives and economically-equivalent OTC ("EEOTC") contracts held by “persons”.
    - Excludes physically-settled gas and electricity forwards covered by REMIT that are traded on OTFs.
    - cf. ESMA Opinion on contracts traded on third-country venues.
  - **Setting the Limits**
    - National regulators are responsible for setting limits on the commodity derivatives executed on venues in their jurisdiction.
    - Where bespoke limits are not set (e.g., “illiquid” contracts), then a standard limit of 2,500 lots will apply.
  - **Aggregation**
    - EU aggregation rules apply on an ownership, not control, basis.
Position Limits

- Identify commodity derivatives that you are trading.
  - Which EU trading venues do you trade on?
  - Which of the products on those venues are commodity derivatives?

- Identify whether you enter into any EEOTC transactions.
  - Which OTC trades share similar features to the venue-traded products?
  - Do these OTC trades reach the standard of EEOTC?
  - What about trades on third-country venues?

- Determine your position aggregation status.
  - Is there a parent/subsidiary relationship?
  - If so, is the subsidiary a collective investment undertaking?
  - If so, does another party determine the sub’s trading decisions?

- Be able to demonstrate compliance!
• **Article 58(2) of MiFID II**
  - ESMA guidance states that the “end-client” for purposes of the position reporting regime refers to the first non-investment firm.
    - Where the non-investment firm itself carries client accounts, it is considered a “nice-to-have” to look through to the positions of the underlying clients.

• **Example.**
  - A US FCM provides a client with trading access to an EU venue through its EU-based investment firm affiliate.
  - The EU affiliate is an investment firm directly subject to the position reporting rules.
  - The US FCM is not an investment firm, meaning that the position reporting obligation “stops” with the US FCM.

• What does Day 1 compliance look like for a US FCM?
3. Investment Research
Investment Research

• Research as an ‘inducement’?
  – In scope are research materials or services: (1) concerning one or several financial instruments or other assets; or (2) concerning the issuers or potential issuers of financial instruments; or (3) closely related to a specific industry or market such that it informs views on financial instruments, assets or issuers within that sector, and which in each case, explicitly or implicitly recommends or suggests an investment strategy and provides a substantiated opinion as to the present or future value or price of such instruments or assets, or otherwise contains analysis and original insights and reaches conclusions based on new or existing information that could be used to inform an investment strategy or be capable of adding value to a firm’s decisions on behalf of clients.
Investment Research

- **Research payment account mechanism**
  - Such research cannot be received for ‘free’.
  - Managers must either pay for it from their own P&L or pay from an RPA.
  - Administrative burden/ cost of compliance.
  - Application to US managers/ delegates of UK managers
  - Application for US FCMs and banks and brokers?
  - What charges will be charged for research?

- **Recent SEC No-Action Relief**
  - What does it mean for US firms?
Research Payments – US issues and ‘fix’

- Impasse: US B-Ds may not charge for research – vs – EU managers must pay for research!
- Issues:
  - US Advisers Act
  - US Investment Company Act
  - US Securities Exchange Act
- SEC no action relief
4. Documentation
EU Broker-Dealers are only now (early November) starting to send out their MiFID II documentation:
- Revised Terms of Business
- Refreshed client status disclosures (retail, professional or eligible counterparty)
- Non-EU investment firm

No significant changes overall
5. Legal Entity Identifiers
LEIs

No LEI – No Trade!!!

- A legal entity wishing to trade with an EU investment firm, or through an EU broker-dealer, or on an EU trading venue MUST have a Legal Entity Identifier (“LEI”) before 3 January.
- Unique 20 character codes associated with legal entities, LEIs are currently required for all swap counterparties (and for EMIR reporting).
- LEIs are issued by various parties operating under the auspices of the Global LEI Foundation (https://www.gleif.org/en).
- In the US, a prominent issuer of LEIs is the Global Markets Entity Identifier (“GMEI”) utility operated by Business Entity Data B.V., a subsidiary of DTCC: https://www.gmeiutility.org/index.jsp
LEIs

- Application information includes details concerning the existence and legal status of the entity and identification of its direct and ultimate parent entities. The information provided is validated from third party information sources by the LEI issuer.

- Individuals generally are not eligible to receive an LEI. However, an individual “acting in a business capacity” as defined by GLEIF can obtain an LEI: [http://www.leiroc.org/publications/gls/lou_20150930-1.pdf](http://www.leiroc.org/publications/gls/lou_20150930-1.pdf)

- The basic registration charge is $119. See [https://www.gmeiutility.org/gmeiUtilityPricing.jsp](https://www.gmeiutility.org/gmeiUtilityPricing.jsp)

- It ordinarily takes three to five business days from the time a request for an LEI is received for the LEI to be issued

- An LEI is valid for one year. They need renewing annually!
6. Transaction Reporting
**Transaction Reporting**

- The following are required to submit transaction reports:
  - EU investment firms;
  - EU credit institutions providing investment services or performing investment activities; and
  - EU branches of third-country firms.
- **NB:** Where a transaction is entered into on an EU trading venue and neither party is itself subject to the transaction reporting rules, then the venue submits the report.
- **Transaction reports must be submitted in respect of:**
  - financial instruments admitted to trading or traded on a trading venue;
  - financial instruments where the underlying instrument is traded on a trading venue;
  - financial instruments where the underlying is an index or basket of instruments that are traded on a trading venue.
Transaction Reporting

• Third-country firms will be indirectly affected by the need for their EU investment firm counterparts to submit reports.

• What should a third-country firm be doing?
  – Diligence all trade flows to European venues or with EU investment firm counterparties.
  – Identify the key legal entities and key personnel that are involved in the trade flows, including investment decision-making and responsibility for trade execution.

• Two important comments:
  – Your EU counterparties may be relying on you to get this right.
  – The ESMA Guidelines on transaction reporting are fiendishly complicated – this is not easy, so start now if you haven’t already.
7. Third-Country Venues
EU Trading Obligation

- **In General.** Mandatory trade execution applies to OTC derivatives (or a class or subset thereof) that:
  - are subject to mandatory clearing under EMIR;
  - have been determined to be subject to mandatory trade execution; and
  - have been entered into between certain types of counterparties.

- **US-EU Announcement on Venue Equivalence**
  - Announcement did not declare equivalence; instead, it stated an intention for equivalence to be put in place.
  - We are expecting more information this month (November).
  - Two possible options for third-country (e.g., US) venues:
    - “automatic” equivalence – no action needed; or
    - “opt-in” equivalence – action required.

- **NB:** finalisation of EU trading obligation RTS.
Post-Trade Transparency

- **Article 21 of MiFIR**
  - Investment firms that conclude transactions in derivatives bilaterally are subject to post-trade transparency requirements where the underlying derivative is also listed for trading on a trading venue.

- **Question**: Are trades on third-country venues then considered “bilateral”?
  - An EU investment firm transacting on a third-country venue could be deemed to be engaged in “bilateral” trading and therefore subject to the Article 21 post-trade transparency requirements.
  - This could lead to significant challenges for EU investment firms, third-country venues, and the non-EU participants on third-country venues.
Post-Trade Transparency

• **ESMA Opinion**
  – EU investment firms that transact on a third-country venue would **not** be subject to EU post-trade transparency requirements where the third-country venue:
    • operates a multilateral system;
    • is subject to authorisation in its home jurisdiction;
    • is subject to supervision and enforcement on an ongoing basis in its home jurisdiction by a regulator that is a full signatory to the IOSCO MMOU; and
    • has a post-trade transparency regime in place where transactions on the venue are published as soon as possible following execution or, in clearly defined situations, after a deferral period.
  – Third-country venues – especially US SEFs and US DCMs – should be prepared to make the required filings (to the extent necessary).
The transaction reporting requirements also apply where in-scope counterparties trade in such products outside of a trading venue but where the product in question is TOTV.

**The Challenge**
- Certain types of standardised derivatives are traded on EU venues as well as on third-country venues.
- The non-EU product could be characterised as TOTV, in which case an EU firm trading on the third-country venue may be subject to transaction reporting requirements in respect of such trading.

**ESMA Opinion – The Solution?**
- An off-venue derivative is only subject to transaction reporting where it “shares the same reference data details” as a derivative traded on an EU venue.
- The values reported in the transaction reporting data fields (other than venue- and issuer-related fields) of the off-venue derivative must be *identical* to those of a derivative traded on an EU venue for the reporting obligation to apply.
8. Direct Electronic Access
Direct Electronic Access

- **Key Scoping Questions:**
  - Do I provide/receive trading access to EU trading venues?
  - What role do I play? (e.g., client, provider, sub-delegate)
  - Does that form of access meet the definition of DEA?

- **What happens if you are (or may be) in scope?**

- **Legal Status in Relevant EU Member State(s)**
  - Where are the EU trading venues located?
  - How have the relevant EU Member State(s) implemented the new authorisation requirements for DEA clients/providers?
  - Are you eligible for any exemptive relief? If so, does this require a filing? By when?
  - Is there any updated regulatory guidance that provides greater clarity on jurisdictional scope?
Direct Electronic Access

• **Due Diligence Requirements**
  – DEA providers must diligence the following of their DEA clients:
    • governance and ownership structure;
    • types of strategies to be undertaken;
    • the operational set-up, the systems, the pre-trade and post-trade controls and the real time monitoring;
    • who is responsible for dealing with actions and errors;
    • historical trading pattern and behaviour;
    • level of expected trading and order volume;
    • Ability to meet financial obligations;
    • disciplinary history.
  – Also applies on a “sub-delegation” basis.
  – Annual renewals.
Direct Electronic Access

• **Systems/Controls for DEA Providers**
  – A DEA provider must apply the following controls:
    • an automated surveillance system to detect market manipulation;
    • pre-trade controls on order entry, including price collars, maximum order values, maximum order volumes and maximum messages limits;
    • real-time monitoring of algorithmic trading activity; and
    • post-trade controls, including the monitoring of market and credit risk exposure.
  – A DEA provider must ensure that its trading systems enable it to:
    • monitor DEA orders submitted by a DEA client;
    • automatically block or cancel such orders in certain scenarios;
    • stop order flows transmitted by its DEA client;
    • suspend or withdraw DEA services to any DEA client; and
    • review the internal risk control systems of DEA clients.
Direct Electronic Access

- A DEA provider must also:
  - assign a unique ID code to each DEA client and trading desks of such DEA client;
  - enter into a “binding written agreement” with each DEA client regarding the essential rights and obligations relating to DEA;
  - provide notice to the relevant regulator(s) that it provides DEA; and
  - maintain adequate records to substantiate its compliance.
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