Rule 1.73 Give Ups: Proposed Solution

Rule Requirement	Proposed Solution: Agreement to screen for risk limit ("Screen Agreement")
 a. Clearing Firm ("CF") establishes limits for customer b. CF enters agreement wi EB to screen orders 	 Limits can be proposed by EB and agreed to by CF Limits can be "fat finger" in nature (e.g., max order size) Limits may be a maximum limit that applies to every customer of CF (EB or CF could impose a more stringent limit on particular customers)
c. Executing Broker screen orders i. If accepts orders for automated execution shall use automated to screen orders for compliance with the	, it neans
ii. If accepts orders for automated execution shall establish and maintain systems of controls reasonably designed to ensure compliance with the	non- , it risk
d. Clearing Firm maintain system reasonably desig to ensure compliance Note: CF does not receive information to permit it to more whether trades complied with to be imposed by EB (e.g., if entrade of 50, can be filled as 5 separate trades of 10, CF only the fills, wouldn't be able to tetrade exceeded limit of 10)	- CF actively monitors customer's account on a post-clearing basis - CF's system to reasonably ensure compliance with its limits - Limits may be of a different nature than those that EB screens for (e.g., IM of overall portfolio v. max order size) sees